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Introduction

The need to provide for the welfare and education of children with mental retardation and other disabilities take on a sense of urgency as evidenced by an increasing public awareness and concern for them. This development may be attributed to the strong advocacy role assumed by the parents and to the several laws enacted in the last decades. Vital among such legislations is the Presidential Decree No. 603 of 1974, The Child and Youth Welfare Code. This Decree codified laws on the rights and responsibilities of children below 21 and of parents, as well as substantive and procedural provisions for children with respect to the home, the community, education, and the state.

The observance of Asian Pacific Decade of Disabled Persons (1992-2002) further raised public awareness regarding children with disabilities that eventually resulted in propelling both the government and non-government organizations into actions. The Convention on the Rights of Children (CPC V and VI) provided clear directions supporting more politically appropriate programs on welfare and education paving the way for inclusion.

Special Education in the Philippines

Special education started in the Philippines in 1907 with the establishment of the Insular School for the Deaf and the Blind, a residential school located in Pasay City, Metro Manila by M. Delia Delight Rice, an American educator. This was later reorganized in 1970 into two separate government special schools: the Philippine National School for the Blind and the Philippine School for the Deaf. Other government and private special schools based on categorical disabilities were also set up. Special schools were first set up for people with: mental retardation and physical disabilities in 1927, cerebral palsy in 1953, and behavior problems and chronic illness in 1962. Such schools were few and private special schools were economically not accessible to many people with disabilities. Moreover, there was some social stigma attached to attending special rather than regular schools.

In 1956, a more formal training of teachers for children with mental retardation, hearing impairment and visual impairment was offered at Baguio Vacation Normal School.

Growing social concern for the welfare and integration of people with disabilities voiced by parents and advocates
including legislations led to the enactment of Republic Act 5250 which established a 10-year training programs for teachers in 1968 and led to the admission of children with disabilities into regular public schools. However, without appropriate school and parental support, these children had difficulty coping with the regular classes and soon dropped out of school.

**SPED Programs**

Educational services for children with special needs (CSNs) come in several forms. These include:

- **Resource room plan**

  Under this scheme, the child is enrolled in the regular school program but goes to a resource room to use the specialized equipment either in a tutorial situation or in a small group. The resource room teacher functions both as an instructor and as a consultant. The usual procedure is for the trained resource room teacher to serve the area of exceptionality. However, occasionally, in small communities, necessity may dictate that the resource room teacher serves children with a variety of learning disabilities.

- **Itinerant teacher plan**

  Under this plan, an itinerant or traveling teacher serves one or more regular schools depending on how many pupils need special help. The teacher gives direct and consultative services to children and in addition, observes, diagnoses, makes referrals and evaluates performance.

- **Special class plan (Self-contained with provision for mainstreaming)**

  This plan is aimed at children with more severe problems which makes it difficult for them to learn in a regular classroom setting. At times, they may be with their normal peers, but are usually not in an academic situation.

- **Special education center**

  This adopts the “school-within-a-school” concept. The Center is administered by a principal and operated according to the rules and regulations that govern a regular school. The Center functions as a Resource Center to support children with special needs in regular schools, assists in the conduct of school-based INSET, produces appropriate teaching materials, and conducts continuous assessment of CSNs.

- **Special day school**

  This type of school serves specific types of children with moderate to severe disabilities. A comprehensive array of medical, psychological and social assessment and the presence of a trained special educator are services that this school offers.

- **Hospital instruction**

  This type of instruction is for the severely emotionally disturbed, the profoundly retarded who are bed-bound, the crippled, those with chronic and/or serious health disabilities, and recovering patients. Services include both bedside tutoring and group instructions. When a patient has recovered and returned home, he/she is enrolled in a regular school.
Community-based delivery system

CBDS is for children with special needs who reside in distant communities and cannot avail themselves of existing special education programs. They are reached by teachers, para-teachers or volunteers who were trained to teach the basic 3 Rs and self-help activities to prepare them for useful and independent living.

SPED programs got a boost in October 1993 when the DECS Secretary was instructed by the President to:

* Expand the enrolment of CSNs in regular classes, but assisted by SPED teachers;
* Establish more SPED centers nationwide based on needs, in consultation with leaders of disabled sectors; and
* Review the possibility of providing incentives to SPED teachers to discourage brain drain.

Another significant development during the mid-1990s was the Social Reform Agenda (SRA) which has one of its foci the disadvantaged sectors including the disabled as priority beneficiaries, drawing together all efforts to improve access to quality education by children with disabilities.

Alternative Educational Models for Children with Special Needs

* **Home-based Instruction.** Has been conceptualized to reach more children with special needs who cannot be served in a school-based or center-based program. This scheme utilizes parents as a primary means in intervention strategy for early and compensatory measure of education and rehabilitation of the mentally retarded. An offshoot of this program is the continuing parent education that improved family’s involvement in the education of the retarded member, while enhancing his opportunities in experiencing success in an educational program.

* **Hospital-based Instruction.** Provision of instructions to children with special needs confined in hospitals is made available in coordination with the DepEd. Special education teachers are assigned to the UP-PGH (University of the Philippines-Philippine General Hospital) Medical Center for such special education program.

* **Community-based Special Education Program.** Basically aimed at providing equity of access to quality education, the community-based SPED program was piloted in 3 regions. The primary goal of this program is to provide basic literacy, numeracy and livelihood skills to out-of-school handicapped children ages 6-15 years through community services and resources.

* **Vocational Program.** Training for livelihood skills for the adult mentally retarded can be done through apprenticeship program. This on the job training under the supervision of trained personnel who understands the nature of mental retardation and other disabilities. Training on vocational skills is also conducted by the non-formal education in the elementary level.

Other programs include the hospital school such as the National Orthopedic Hospital School for Crippled Children (NOH-SCC) and the special classes at the UP-PGH Medical Center for children with impaired health. Table 1 shows the number of existing educational services in the country.
Table 1
PRESENT PROVISIONS OF SPECIAL EDUCATION
Special Education Facilities for SY 2004-2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special classes</td>
<td>4034</td>
<td>4034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPED Centers</td>
<td></td>
<td>151</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular schools with</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPED programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital schools</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential schools</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>5740</td>
<td>6203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Policy Support for Inclusive Education

The Philippine Constitution of 1987 reflects the educational effectiveness of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child that it signed in 1990. In Article XIV, Sec. 2, it is provided that the “State shall… establish and maintain a system of free public education in the elementary and high school levels” with elementary education being compulsory for all children. The Constitution also mandates the State to “encourage non-formal, informal and indigenous learning system, as well as self-learning, independent and out-of-school study programs, and to provide adult citizens, the disabled and out-of-school youths with training on civics, vocational efficiency and other skills.”

The Philippines adopted the policy on inclusion education after the World Conference on Special Needs Education held in Salamanca, Spain in June 1994. This conference gave rise to the Salamanca Statement and Framework of Action on Special Needs Education that subscribes to the fundamental principle that “all children should learn together, wherever possible, regardless of any difficulties or differences they may have.”

The integration and mainstreaming of children with special needs into the regular school system in the country actually commenced in the 1970s. A mainstreaming model for children with disabilities was implemented in one of the schools in Manila in 1974. Prior to 1994, the Philippine government had already undertaken a number of legislative, policy and program initiatives related to special needs education. These include, among others, adoption of the Philippine Plan of Action for the Asian and Pacific Decade of Disabled Persons: 1993-2002, the preparation of a Handbook on Policies and Guidelines on Special Education in 1987, and the Child and Youth Welfare Code (PD 603) which is replete with specific provisions intended for the welfare of exceptional children. As cited in Article 3, Rights of the Child, the emotionally disturbed or socially maladjusted child shall be treated with sympathy and understanding, and shall be entitled to treatment and competent care; and the physically or mentally handicapped child shall be given the education and care required by his particular condition. Equally important is Article 74, which provides for the creation of special classes. The Article reads: Where needs warrant, there shall be at least one special class in every province, and if possible, special schools for the physically handicapped, the mentally retarded, the emotionally disturbed and the specially gifted. The private sector shall be given all the necessary inducement and encouragement.

Other important laws in support of inclusion education are the Education Act of 1982 and the Magna Carta for Disabled Persons of 1992 (Republic Act 7277). The Education Act provides for a multi-sectoral thrust in the implementation of inclusion education by mandating the schools to provide for the establishment of appropriate bodies that would discuss issues and promote their interest. The Magna Carta for Disabled Persons on the other hand, likewise provides that the State shall (i) ensure that disabled persons are provided with adequate access to quality education and ample opportunities to develop their skills, (ii) take appropriate steps to make such education accessible to all disabled persons, and (iii) take into consideration the special requirements of disabled
persons in the formulation of education policies and programs. It also mandates the State to encourage learning institutions to take into account the special needs of disabled persons with respect to the use of school facilities, class schedules, physical education requirements and other pertinent considerations.

The adoption of inclusion education, in effect, provided a synthesizing force for past and current efforts as well as a common platform for new initiatives directed at children with disability and those requiring special education.

Moreover, in response to the Copenhagen Declaration and the EFA Declaration and Framework, the Philippine government through Proclamation No. 855 issued on January 31, 1992, launched the EFA Philippine Plan of Action which provided the national policy framework for a universally accessible educational system. The EFA strategy seeks to address the problems of limited access to basic education for groups that are at least served by the educational system and those who enter the system but drop out, or at high risk of dropping out before achieving basic literacy and numeric skills.

Also in 1992, the *Philippine Plan of Action for Children (PPAC)* was formulated to translate the country’s obligations as party to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child and its commitment under the Child and Youth Welfare Code (PD 603).

A summary of the laws and policies relating to inclusion education is given in Table 2. More recent initiatives have also come from the Philippine Congress, the most notable of which are:

- House Bill No. 500, Special Education Act of 2005
- House Bill No. 3415, an act establishing a specialized National School for Physically Handicapped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Law, Administrative Order</th>
<th>Children with Disabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Education Act of 1982</td>
<td>Re-structuring elementary education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Magna Carta for Disabled Persons (1992)</td>
<td>Right of entry to any educational institutions; provision of quality services in health, welfare and employment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The policy of inclusion education has been enunciated in a number of implementing orders issued by the Secretary of Education during the last few years. These include the following:

- Department Order No. 126, s. 1990, which calls for the national implementation of the *Parent Learning Support System (PLSS).*
Department Order No. 1, s. 1997 entitled Organization of a Regional SPED Unit and Designation of a Regional Supervisor in-charge of Special Education which enjoins the Regional Directors to designate a full-time Regional Supervisor In-charge of Special Education and to organize a SPED unit. The SPED unit includes representatives from the elementary education, secondary education and alternative learning system.

The SPED Unit is tasked with assisting the regional director in the formulation and implementation of policies, programs and projects on special education.

Department Order No. 26, s. 1997 entitled Institutionalization of SPED Programs in All Schools which institutionalizes the provision of equal educational opportunities to children with disabilities through special needs education.

The institutionalization is aimed at the following children with special needs: the gifted/talented, the mentally retarded, the visually impaired, the hearing impaired, the orthopedically handicapped, the learning disabled, the speech defectives, children with behavior problems, autistic children, and children with health problems.

Educational opportunities are to be provided through the formal system and though other alternative delivery services in education.

The order also requires all divisions to organize at least one SPED center and SPED programs in each area. Furthermore, it provides training at the regional, division and district levels, and incentives for supervisors, administrators and teachers involved in SPED programs. This issuance started the adoption of inclusive education as a policy.

Department Order No. 14, s. 1993 entitled Regional Special Education Council which authorizes the regional directors to organize a Regional Special Education Council (RSEC). RSEC is tasked with the following:

- To formulate and coordinate the implementation of policies, plans and programs in special education in the region;
- To organize regional SPED training team which shall conduct in-service training at the regional and sub-regional levels; and
- To establish linkages with GOs and NGOs for either financial or human resources support.

Department Order No. 5, s. 1998 entitled Reclassification of Regular Teacher and Principal Items to Special Education (SPED) Teacher and Special School Principal which authorizes the implementation of salary grades for SPED teachers and special principals as contained in the Revised Compensation and Position Classification System in the Government Act.

Strategies in Inclusion for Children with Mental Retardation

1. Creation of Special Education Centers

To improve the special education program, the school-within-a-school concept was introduced in 1974. In a school that is strategically located within the community a Special Education Center is organized. This Center, manned by trained special education teachers for different types of disabilities and administered by the principal of the regular school, offered an array of educational services appropriate to the needs and capabilities of children with mental
retardation and other disabilities. The services included special classes, resource room plans, partial or full integration, and mainstreaming. The rationale for the organization of the centers was to maximize the utilization of expert human resources and consolidation of support for the program, to facilitate supervision and administrative functions, and to provide research opportunities.

The Center functions as a resource center for inclusion tasked with the following: (1) conduct continuous assessment of children with special needs; (2) provide in-service training to school personnel, parents and other involved in the child’s life on the “why” and “how” of creating inclusive schools as well as other relevant educational trends and practices; (3) produce and provide appropriate teaching and student materials; and (4) provide support to children with special needs included in the regular classroom in terms of planning instruction and providing a range of educational services (e.g. resource room teaching, itinerant teaching, special and cooperative classes) in collaboration with the regular teachers. The Center does not only focus on enrolling the child with disabilities in the regular classroom but also attempts to assist the regular education teacher and other personnel to respond to the diverse needs and abilities of these children through the provision of appropriate educational programs along with curricular modification and individualized support services.

The 6 Silahis (SPED) Centers of Manila were the first set of this model to be organized. The Centers were designed to facilitate integration and mainstreaming, and later in inclusion of children with disabilities in the regular schools. This scheme, now on its 31st year and increased to 151, is the model for the establishment of the SPED Center across the country to address the Salamanca policy of inclusion, which has been adopted by the Philippines as an integral part of the national educational program. The centers which are within the regular classes in fact resulted in more children with mental retardation moved to regular classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV-A</th>
<th>IV-B</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>VIII</th>
<th>IX</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>XI</th>
<th>XII</th>
<th>CAR</th>
<th>NCR</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

CHILDRN WITH MENTAL RETARDATION INCLUDED IN THE REGULAR CLASSROOMS
SCHOOL YEAR 2004-2005

2. Provision of Early Intervention Program as Strategy for Early Inclusion

Alternative models of early intervention for 0-6 years old are being implemented on a limited basis in Metro Manila, the Bicol region and Davao City. Home-based instruction (HBI) for children with developmental delays utilizing the Filipino Adaptation of Portage Guide to Early Education with parents as teachers of their disabled children is the current model. A Headstart Program in Manila was another milestone in preschool education for the socially and
economically deprived. Its clients were siblings of youth offenders or slum dwellers whose parents could not afford to provide them with basic education.

Chosen Children in Cavite houses abandoned children with mental retardation. This institution is managed by a civic-minded couple and financed by friends. Another early intervention program for the abandoned and neglected children with mental retardation is the Hospicio de San Jose managed by the Daughters of Charity in Manila and has other branches in the country.

At the Department, project in early intervention is being carried out in 10 selected provinces funded by Christoffel Blindenmission (CBMI), an international NGO. The Adapted Filipino Portage Guide has already been translated in local language. The local government and the parents are managing the home-based program in this region.

Table 4
TRAINING OF TRAINERS ON HOME-BASED INSTRUCTION: AN EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trainee</th>
<th>1998</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent/Caregiver</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPED/Pre-school Teacher</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/Day Care Worker</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator/Supervisor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above trainers have also completed the training of around 749 personnel composed of parents, social workers, SPED and regular teachers, school heads and supervisors.

3. Teacher Education and Training

The development and success of inclusion education programs depends largely on the quality of teachers and education personnel involved. One of the challenges of inclusion education is providing in-service training to all teachers, with consideration for the varied and often difficult conditions under which they serve. In-service training programs should be relevant and culturally viable.

The Human Resource Development Program for SPED teachers is in place. The Bureau of Elementary Education, Special Education Division regularly conducts summer training programs in such areas as:

a. Summer Training for Teachers of Children with Mental Retardation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV-A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV-B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These summer trainings are funded by Christoffel Blindenmission (CBMI).

b. Training on Transition and Establishment of Work Centers

In order to facilitate the inclusion of youth and adult with mental retardation to the world of work in the community, the Department of Education was provided funding assistance by CBMI to train teachers on transition and establishment of work centers. This enrich the program offering at the Special Education centers.

c. Training on Inclusive Education

DECS Order No. 26, s. 1997, or Institutionalization of SPED Program in All Schools clearly articulates the adoption of inclusive education concept in the different SPED programs organized. Hence, capability building among teachers and school administrators on the adoption of inclusive education as an approach to educating all types of children was conducted nationwide. Corps of trainers on inclusive education were likewise put in place in every region to see to it that all receiving teachers shall be properly oriented on how to provide appropriate intervention for children with special needs included in the regular classes. Consequently, some 100 copies of Training Modules on Inclusive Education were printed for Trainers’ use.

Below shows the number of participants trained in inclusive education which were also conducted with funding assistance from CBMI:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training of Trainers</th>
<th>No. of participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training of Administrators</th>
<th>No. of participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

d. Scholarship on Organization and Administration of Special Education (OAS)

The acceptance or support of the school heads on inclusion is vital to the success of such program. In order to prepare the school administrators, the Department of Education sent annually 10 scholars to the University of the Philippines and recently to the Philippine Normal University to be trained in the organization and administration of Special Education. This program has been ongoing since 1965. This year (2005), CBMI sponsored another 17 school administrators. To date there are around 212 school administrators trained.

4. Curriculum

Section 1 of Article V (Policies and Guidelines for Special Education) states the curriculum prescribed for the regular school by the Department of Education, Culture and Sports. The schemes may be adopted for special education program depending upon the needs of the special learners: (a) the regular curriculum prescribed for regular children, (2) the modified curriculum which is the regular curriculum with certain adaptations to meet the needs of special children, such as inclusion of orientation and mobility for children with visual impairment, and (3) the special curriculum which is designed for children with special needs and aimed primarily at developing adaptive skills and/or their potential. Prototype instructional materials specially designed for the above children are prepared by the Special Education Division and made available to the field.

5. Changes in Teacher Education

Teacher education is a key factor in bringing about change towards inclusive education. The teacher education institutions (TEIs) have a major responsibility to improve the pre-service training for teachers in the light of the developments in inclusion education. With the new concepts in dealing with learning difficulties of children, teacher education must rethink, review and revise existing models of regular and special education courses. Teacher education courses promote collaboration between regular class teachers and special education teachers. Knowledge, skills, attitudes and competencies that are required of teachers to work with children with special needs were defined and incorporated in the teacher education curriculum. Teacher education students should be prepared to work with regular and special teachers and members of the community.

The Commission on Higher Education issued CHED Memorandum Order No. 30 series of 2004 entitled Revised Policies and Standards for Undergraduate Teacher Education Curriculum in accordance with the provisions of the Magna Carta for Disabled Persons (RA 7277) and to improve the quality of teachers. Special education and preschool education were added as new areas of specialization.

6. Community and Parental Involvement

The adoption of team approach as support to inclusive schools allows parents and community volunteers to serve as teacher-aide in regular classes. Parent education and parent-teacher partnership is seen as more vigorous and operational in the special education centers.

7. Early Childhood Care and Education
The community day care centers established under the Department of Social Welfare and Development is the first opportunity of children with mental retardation to be included in a more educational setting. There are more than 30,000 day care centers in 41,957 barangays or villages which accommodate children with mental retardation.

While preschool education is not yet part of the educational ladder, the Department of Education has organized preschool classes which accommodates children with disabilities. These are either funded by parents-teachers-community associations (9,450), by the local government (3,828), under the Preschool Service Contracting Scheme (956), and the NGO organized (219). In very depressed and disadvantaged areas, there are preschool classes (1,428) that are under the Department of Education.

It is observed that in preschool education, the inclusion of children with mental retardation is not a problem.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Preschool Program</th>
<th>No. of Classes</th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
<th>Age Group Served</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day Care Centers</td>
<td>32,787</td>
<td>1,526,023</td>
<td>3-5 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs Community-Based Preschool</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td>3-5 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DepEd Public Preschools/SRA</td>
<td>1,428</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>5 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DepEd Preschool Service Contracting Scheme</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>24,125</td>
<td>5 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCA/LGU</td>
<td>13,278</td>
<td>408,596</td>
<td>5 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Preschools</td>
<td>11,033</td>
<td>331,007</td>
<td>3-5 years old</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Conferences, Symposia and Fora on Inclusive Education as a Form of Advocacy

National conferences on Special Needs Education are held every two years. These conference-cum-training sessions focus on the policy of inclusion education, approaches and strategies for inclusion, curriculum modification and development of school plans for the implementation of inclusion education. Most of the training is subsidized by NGOs with some help from DepEd and LGUs.

The training/refresher programs of SPED teachers and regular teachers involved in inclusion education have to be assessed, reconsidered and refocused to enable the teachers to take on their new role. Teachers are perceived to be weak in some areas, including student assessment, classroom management techniques and multigrade teaching methods. The In-service Teacher Training (INSET) Program which addresses some of these weaknesses have to be strengthened in order to support the full implementation of inclusion education.

**Outcomes of Inclusion for Children and Youth with Mental Retardation**

♦ Educational Outcomes

Notwithstanding the issue of cost, successful implementation of inclusion education could generate the following outcomes, aside from observing the rights of children with mental retardation to quality education:

♦ Higher participation rate, cohort survival or completion rate.
Higher simple and functional literacy rates.
A higher employment participation rate.

The attainment of these outcomes, however, hinges on the provision of complementary support systems, especially those directed towards prolonging the holding power of the schools and developing the skills that would enable the youths to engage in productive pursuits after their schooling.

Economics Outcomes

From an economic perspective, the economic returns of inclusion education come in the form of savings in social welfare bill that the State provides to support non-productive persons with mental retardation until their adult life.

The impact of reduced social welfare budget could mean realignment or reallocation of resources to other priority development programs. Moreover, the integration of persons with mental retardation into the mainstream productive workforce could bring in returns in the form of wage contribution to economic output, and also the creation of wealth through entrepreneurial undertakings.

Although all those who successfully go through inclusion education may not eventually join the workforce, it is also not realistic to say that no one from those who do would end up giving nothing in return. The ultimate goal of inclusion education is the full integration of persons with disabilities and other marginalized children into the mainstream of society, including their participation in the productive processes of the country.

With the cited government financial support to education, the issue of effectiveness and/or wastage cannot be overlooked. A research (Rimando, 1990) avers that an entire nation when a child drops out from school. On the part of the child, it is deprivation of rights to education. For the parents or family, it is a lost opportunity for socio-economic advancement, and for the government, it spells the loss of moral, monetary and potentially productive human resources.

Social Aspect

Impact on Regular Children

Given the country’s present educational setting, the short-term impact if inclusion education on “regular” children has been both positive and negative, specifically:

- Overcrowding. The inclusion of children with mental retardation and other disabilities represents a sizable addition of new entrants to the educational system, which further strains the already limited facilities and teaching resources of the Department of Education.

- The lowering of the quality of education that results from a higher pupil-teacher ratio and a higher pupil-textbook ratio.

On the other hand, the positive impact of inclusion education is the development of more tolerant and socially adjusted individuals who are more supportive of disabled persons.

Social Returns
Inclusion education has its own social returns. The integration of children with mental retardation and other disabilities with “regular” children creates positive social and attitudinal changes in both sets of children, such as:

- Developing greater social and community cohesion by reducing or eliminating prejudices against disabled or disadvantaged children.

- Improving self-concept or self-esteem, particularly among the disabled and disadvantaged children, with their acceptance by their peers and their community, and their development of a sense of belonging.

- Growth in social condition with non-disabled students learning to be more tolerant of children with disabilities as they become more aware of their needs. They demonstrate more positive feelings about themselves after spending time helping their classmates with disabilities. They also learn skills that enable them not only to communicate more effectively but also to be more supportive of disabled persons.

- Developing personal principles. Non-disabled children experience growth in their commitment to personal, moral and ethical principles as a result of their relationship with children with disabilities. Parents similarly show less prejudice towards people with disabilities.

- Developing independence and self-reliance. The knowledge, values, attitudes and skills imparted by education in inclusive schools enable children to make their own choices and decisions as well as pursue activities that would make them financially self-reliant.

- Encouraging greater participation in social processes.

**Conclusion: Lessons Learned**

- In the light of limited government funds for the improvement of access to quality education for all, the Philippine model of establishing SPED centers as resource centers for inclusion is very viable and easy to replicate. This model has demonstrated the capacity of regular schools across the country to accommodate children with disabilities.

- At the school level, policies and strategies for inclusive education can be further implemented towards making special education an integral part of the administration of the total school system. Within the integrated administrative structure, the capability of the administrators to ensure that children with disabilities receive appropriate instruction can also be further strengthened. This capability covers policies for the organization, the size of classes, and the allocation of time and space for children with special needs. It is important that the duties and responsibilities of school staff working with these children be clearly defined. School can also play a greater role in the provision of early intervention for children with disabilities at the elementary and preschool levels.

- Existing school facilities, instructional materials, curriculum, teaching approaches, school organization and management, and other support systems (including identification and monitoring) should be adapted to the needs of inclusive education.
Reducing the attitudinal barriers of school staff, parents and community towards children with disabilities is another significant agenda for inclusion in the Philippines. It is important to encourage parents to send their children with disabilities to schools that are in close proximity to their homes.

Implementing inclusive education entails additional resources over and above those provided to regular schools. Forging partnership between or among the government, the community, families of children with special needs, religious groups, the private business sector, non-governmental organizations and other organizations provides other viable and sustainable avenues of financing inclusive education.

**Issues and Future Prospects**

With about eight years experience in the implementation of inclusion (since 1997), the Department of Education has come up with the following prioritized list of issues and challenges to tackle:

- Reduce attitudinal barriers of parents, community and schools towards children with disabilities.
- Prolong the holding power of regular education schools over these children.
- Make existing school facilities, instructional materials, curriculum, teaching approaches, school organization and management, and other support systems adaptable and suitable to the needs of inclusive education.
- Provide adequately trained special education teachers to work with regular education teachers in providing services to children with disabilities.
- Provide resources, both financial and logistical, to sustain inclusive education.
- Mobilize parents of children with disabilities as advocates and, together with the community, provide support for inclusive education.

Major programs and projects that will be implemented to address the above issues are as follows:

- Conduct strong advocacy programs through multimedia channels with self-advocates/people with disabilities, their parents and school personnel sharing success stories on inclusive education.
- Accelerate the establishment of SPED centers in all school divisions and issue policy recommendations urging existing SPED centers to extend technical assistance to neighboring schools based on needs.
- Review existing trained programs in SPED and work for the establishment of teacher-training centers that will pilot the restructuring of the course curriculum to ensure that strategies for inclusive education will be introduced to prospective teachers at both the undergraduate and graduate levels.
- Continue efforts on collaboration with government and non-governmental agencies and local and international bodies for financial and technical assistance specifically in early intervention and community-based programs that will facilitate the inclusion of children with disabilities in regular education schools.
- Organize parent support programs that train parents as partners of teachers to supplement the current pool of SPED teachers.

Having said all of the above, let me finally close with the statement of the Director General of UNESCO in 1994:
“Special needs education cannot advance in isolation. It must be part of an overall educational strategy and, indeed, of new social and economic policies. This requires a review of the policy and practice in every sub-sector within education, from preschool to universities.
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